**ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENTS IN INDIA**

The contemporary India experiences an almost unrestricted exploitation of resources because of the lure of new consumerist lifestyles. The balance of nature is disrupted. This has led to many conflicts in the society. In this article, we discuss the major environmental movements in India.

**WHAT IS AN ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENT?**

* An environmental movement can be defined as a social or political movement, for the conservation of environment or for the improvement of the state of the [environment](https://www.clearias.com/category/environment-notes/). The terms ‘green movement’ or ‘conservation movement’ are alternatively used to denote the same.
* The environmental movements favour the **sustainable management** of natural resources. The movements often stress the protection of the environment via **changes in public policy**. Many movements are centred on **ecology, health and human rights**.
* Environmental movements range from the highly organized and formally institutionalized ones to the radically informal activities.
* The spatial scope of various environmental movements ranges from being local to the almost global.

**MAJOR ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENTS IN INDIA**

Some of the major environmental movements in India are the following:

**CHIPKO MOVEMENT**

* Year: 1973
* Place: In Chamoli district and later at Tehri-Garhwal district of Uttarakhand.
* Leaders: Sundarlal Bahuguna, Gaura Devi, Sudesha Devi, Bachni Devi, Chandi Prasad Bhatt, Govind Singh Rawat, Dhoom Singh Negi, Shamsher Singh Bisht and Ghanasyam Raturi.
* Aim: The main objective was to protect the trees on the Himalayan slopes from the axes of contractors of the forest.

**What was it all about:**Mr. Bahuguna enlightened the villagers by conveying the importance of trees in the environment which checks the erosion of soil, cause rains and provides pure air. The women of Advani village of Tehri-Garhwal tied the sacred thread around trunks of trees and they hugged the trees, hence it was called ‘Chipko Movement’ or ‘hug the tree movement’. The main demand of the people in these protests was that the benefits of the forests (especially the right to fodder) should go to local people. The Chipko movement gathered momentum in 1978 when the women faced police firings and other tortures. The then state Chief Minister, Hemwati Nandan Bahuguna set up a committee to look into the matter, which eventually ruled in favor of the villagers. This became a turning point in the history of eco-development struggles in the region and around the world.

**SAVE SILENT VALLEY MOVEMENT**

* Year: 1978
* Place: Silent Valley, an evergreen tropical forest in the Palakkad district of Kerala, India.
* Leaders: The Kerala Sastra Sahitya Parishad (KSSP) an NGO, and the poet-activist Sughathakumari played an important role in the Silent Valley protests.
* Aim: In order to protect the Silent Valley, the moist evergreen forest from being destroyed by a hydroelectric project.

**What was it all about:**The Kerala State Electricity Board (KSEB) proposed a hydroelectric dam across the Kunthipuzha River that runs through Silent Valley. In February 1973, the Planning Commission approved the project at a cost of about Rs 25 crores. Many feared that the project would submerge 8.3 sq km of untouched moist evergreen forest. Several NGOs strongly opposed the project and urged the government to abandon it. In January 1981, bowing to unrelenting public pressure, Indira Gandhi declared that Silent Valley will be protected. In June 1983 the Center re-examined the issue through a commission chaired by Prof. M.G.K. Menon. In November 1983 the Silent Valley Hydroelectric Project was called off. In 1985, Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi formally inaugurated the Silent Valley National Park.

**JUNGLE BACHAO ANDHOLAN**

* Year: 1982
* Place: Singhbhum district of Bihar
* Leaders: The tribals of Singhbhum.
* Aim: Against government’s decision to replace the natural **sal forest** with **Teak**.

**What was it all about:**The tribals of Singhbhum district of Bihar started the protest when the government decided to replace the natural sal forests with the highly-priced teak. This move was called by many as “Greed Game Political Populism”. Later this movement spread to Jharkhand and Orissa.

**APPIKO MOVEMENT**

* Year: 1983
* Place: Uttara Kannada and Shimoga districts  of Karnataka State
* Leaders: Appiko’s greatest strengths lie in it being neither driven by a personality nor having been formally institutionalised. However, it does have a facilitator in Pandurang Hegde. He helped launch the movement in 1983.
* Aim: Against the felling and commercialization of natural forest and the ruin of ancient livelihood.

**What was it all about:**It can be said that Appiko movement is the southern version of the Chipko movement. The Appiko Movement was locally known as “Appiko Chaluvali”. The locals embraced the trees which were to be cut by contractors of the forest department. The Appiko movement used various techniques to raise awareness such as foot marches in the interior forest, slide shows, folk dances, street plays etc. The second area of the movement’s work was to promote afforestation on denuded lands. The movement later focused on the rational use of ecosphere through introducing alternative energy resource to reduce pressure on the forest. The movement became a success. The current status of the project is – stopped.

**NARMADA BACHAO ANDHOLAN (NBA)**

* Year: 1985
* Place: **Narmada** River, which flows through the states of Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra.
* Leaders: Medha Patker, Baba Amte, adivasis, farmers, environmentalists and human rights activists.
* Aim: A social movement against a number of large dams being built across the **Narmada** River.

**What was it all about:**The movement first started as a protest for not providing proper rehabilitation and resettlement for the people who have been displaced by the construction of **Sardar Sarovar Dam.** Later on, the movement turned its focus on the preservation of the environment and the eco-systems of the valley. Activists also demanded the height of the dam to be reduced to 88 m from the proposed height of 130m. World Bank withdrew from the project.

The environmental issue was taken into court. In October 2000, the Supreme Court gave a judgment approving the construction of the Sardar Sarovar Dam with a condition that height of the dam could be raised to 90 m. This height is much higher than the 88 m which anti-dam activists demanded, but it is definitely lower than the proposed height of 130 m. The project is now largely financed by the state governments and market borrowings. The project is expected to be fully com­pleted by 2025.

Although not successful, as the dam could not be prevented, the NBA has created an anti-big dam opinion in India and outside. It questioned the paradigm of development. As a democratic movement, it followed the Gandhian way 100 per cent.

**TEHRI DAM CONFLICT**

* Year: 1990’s
* Place: Bhagirathi River near Tehri in Uttarakhand.
* Leaders: Sundarlal Bahuguna
* Aim: The protest was against the displacement of town inhabitants and environmental consequence of the weak ecosystem.

Tehri dam attracted national attention in the 1980s and the 1990s.  The major objections include, seismic sensitivity of the region, submergence of forest areas along with Tehri town etc. Despite the support from other prominent leaders like Sunderlal Bahuguna, the movement has failed to gather enough popular support at national as well as international levels.

Hence, we can say, numerous grass root environmental movements were started against the developmental activities that have endangered the ecological balance that changes the public policy more inclined towards the environment friendly development and sustainable development.
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