

## Ch-6

### Probability Review

$S \rightarrow$  denotes sample set.

$P(A) \rightarrow$  denotes probability of  $A$ .

Ex:- Suppose the experiment consists of rolling a pair of pair dice. If  $A$  is the event that the sum of the dice is equal to 7, then.

$$A = \{(1,6), (2,5), (3,4), (4,3), (5,2), (6,1)\}$$

$$P(A) = 6/36 = 1/6$$

$$P(A^c) = 1 - P(A).$$

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B).$$

$P(A|B)$  - Conditional probability of  $A$  given that  $B$  has occurred:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

Ex:- A coin is flipped twice.  $S = \{(h,h), (h,t), (t,h), (t,t)\}$   
find Conditional probability that both flips land on heads, given that

(a) the first flip lands on heads

(b) at least one of the flips lands on heads?

$\rightarrow A = \{(h, h)\}$   $\rightarrow$  event that both flips heads.

$B = \{(h, h), (h, t)\}$   $\rightarrow$  first flip heads

$C = \{(h, h), (h, t), (t, h)\}$   $\rightarrow$  at least one heads.

$$a \rightarrow P(A/B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = \frac{1/4}{2/4} = 1/2$$

$$b \rightarrow P(A/C) = \frac{P(A \cap C)}{P(C)} = \frac{1/4}{3/4} = 1/3.$$

random variables:

Numerical quantities whose values are determined by the outcome of the experiment are known as random variable.

Ex:- Let the random variable  $X$  denote the sum when a pair of fair dice are rolled.  
possible values of  $X = 2, 3, \dots, 12$ .

$$P\{X=2\} = P\{(1,1)\} = 1/36$$

$$P\{X=3\} = 2/36$$

$$P\{X=4\} = 3/36$$

Suppose  $x$  is a random variable that can take any one of the finite no. of values say  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and  $p_i$  represents the probability of occurrence of  $x_i$ ,

then 
$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$$

Expected value:- The Expected value of a random variable  $x$  is just the average value obtained by regarding the probabilities as frequencies.

$$E(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i p_i$$

$E(x)$  is denoted by  $\bar{x}$ .

$x \rightarrow$  random variable whose possible values are  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  &  $p_i \rightarrow$  probability of  $x_i$ .

Ex:- roll of dice.

$$\frac{1}{6} (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6) = 3.5$$

Properties

(i)  $E(\alpha y + \beta z) = \alpha E(y) + \beta E(z)$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are real nos. &  $y$  &  $z$  are random variables.

(ii)  $E(x) \geq 0$  if  $x \geq 0$ .

Variance:-  
denoted by  $\sigma^2$

$$\text{Var}(y) = E[(y - \bar{y})^2].$$

$$\sigma_y^2 = \text{Var}(y)$$

$$\sigma_y = \sqrt{E[(y - \bar{y})^2]}$$

$$\text{Var}(x) = E[(x - \bar{x})^2]$$

$$= E[x^2 + \bar{x}^2 - 2x\bar{x}]$$

$$= E(x^2) + E(\bar{x}^2) - 2E(x)\bar{x}$$

$$= E(x^2) - \bar{x}^2$$

Ex:- roll of die.

$$\bar{y} = 3.5$$

$$\sigma^2 = 2.92$$

$$\sigma = 1.71$$

\* Two random variables  $x$  &  $y$  are said to be independent random variables if the outcome probabilities for one ~~one~~ variable do not depend on the outcome of other.

Ex:- probability of outcome of 4 on second die is always  $\frac{1}{6}$  no matter what comes in first die.

## Covariance :-

$$\text{Cov}(x_1, x_2) = E[(x_1 - \bar{x}_1)(x_2 - \bar{x}_2)]$$

$$\text{or } \sigma_{x_1, x_2} \text{ or } \sigma_{12}$$

$$\text{Cov}(x_1, x_2) = E(x_1 x_2) - \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2$$

\* If two random variables  $x_1$  &  $x_2$  have property that  $\sigma_{12} = 0$ , then they are said to be uncorrelated.

If  $\sigma_{12} > 0$ , two variables are said to be positively correlated otherwise negatively correlated.

Covariance bound :- The covariance of two random variables satisfies  $|\sigma_{12}| \leq \sigma_1 \sigma_2$

If  $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rightarrow$  perfectly correlated.

Correlation coefficient,  $\rho_{12} = \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}$

$$* |\rho_{12}| \leq 1$$

Variance of sum

$$\text{var}(x+y) = \sigma_x^2 + 2\sigma_{xy} + \sigma_y^2$$

## Asset Return :-

An investment instrument that can be bought and sold is called an asset.

$$(R) \text{ Total return} = \frac{\text{amount received } (X_1)}{\text{amount invested } (X_0)}$$

## Rate of return

$$r = \text{rate of return} = \frac{\text{amount received} - \text{amount invested}}{\text{amount invested}}$$

$$r = \frac{X_1 - X_0}{X_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow R = 1 + r$$

$$\text{or } X_1 = (1 + r)X_0$$

This shows that rate of return acts much like an interest rate.

## Short Sales :-

Short selling or shorting is the sale of a ~~financial~~ asset that is not owned by the seller or that the seller has borrowed.

sell at say  $X_0$  & purchase  $\rightarrow X_1$

$$\text{Profit} = X_0 - X_1$$

Portfolio Return :-

Suppose that  $n$  different assets are available, and total amount is  $X_0$ . We then select  $X_{0i}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_{0i} = X_0$ , where  $X_{0i}$  = amount invested in  $i^{th}$  ~~asset~~ asset.

[If short selling is allowed  $X_{0i}$  become negative).

and  $X_{0i} = w_i X_0$   $i=1, 2, \dots, n$

where  $w_i$  is the weight or fraction of asset  $i$  in the portfolio.

then  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$

[some  $w_i$  may be negative if short selling is allowed).

Let  $R_i$  denote the total return of asset  $i$ .

Then amount of money generated by  $i^{th}$  asset after end of period =  $R_i X_{0i}$   
=  $R_i w_i X_0$ .

$$\therefore \text{Total amount received} = \sum_{i=1}^n R_i X_{0i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n R_i w_i X_0$$

$$\therefore \text{total return of portfolio} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n R_i w_i X_0}{X_0}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n R_i w_i$$

weighted rate

and  $r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n R_i w_i X_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n R_f w_i X_0}{X}$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i X_0 (R_i - 1)}{X}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (X_0 R_i - X_0)}{X_0}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i r_i \quad \left( \because \frac{X_0 R_i - X_0}{X_0} = r_i \right)$$

Ex:-

	$r$	$w_i$
A $\rightarrow$	17%	0.25
B $\rightarrow$	13%	0.50
C $\rightarrow$	23%	0.25

$$r = \frac{1}{4} \times 17 + \frac{1}{2} \times 13 + \frac{1}{4} \times 23$$

$$= 16.50$$

Random returns: -

Ex ① Wheel of fortune  $Q_i \rightarrow$  payoff by segment  $i$ .

Expected payoff =  $\bar{Q} = \sum_i p_i Q_i = \frac{1}{6} (4 - 1 + 2 - 1 + 3) = 7/6$

Variance =  $\sigma_Q^2 = E(Q^2) - \bar{Q}^2 = \frac{1}{6} (16 + 1 + 4 + 1 + 9) - (7/6)^2 = 3.81$

Total return =  $\frac{Q}{1} = Q$

and rate of return,  $R = Q - 1$

$\therefore \bar{R} = E(R) = E(Q - 1) = E(Q) - 1 = 1/6$

and  $\sigma_R^2 = E[(R - \bar{R})^2] = E[(Q - 1 - (7/6 - 1))^2] = \sigma_Q^2 = 3.81$

Ex ②:  $P(3) = 1/2$ ,  $P(2) = 1/3$ ,  $P(6) = 1/6$

White  $\leftarrow \bar{R}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(3) + \frac{1}{2}(0) = \frac{3}{2}$

Black  $\leftarrow \bar{R}_2 = \frac{1}{3}(2) + \frac{2}{3}(0) = \frac{2}{3}$

Grey  $\leftarrow \bar{R}_3 = \frac{1}{6}(6) + \frac{5}{6}(0) = 1$

$\sigma_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}(3^2) - (\frac{3}{2})^2 = 2.25$

$\sigma_2^2 = 0.889 = \frac{1}{3}(2)^2 - (\frac{2}{3})^2$

$\sigma_3^2 = \frac{1}{6} \times 6^2 - 1 = 5$

$$A1 \quad E(R_1, R_2) = 0.$$

$$\therefore \sigma_{12} = -\bar{R}_1 \bar{R}_2 = -\frac{3}{2} \times \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = -1.$$

$$\sigma_{13} = -\frac{3}{2} \times 1 = -1.5$$

$$\sigma_{23} = -\frac{2}{3} (1) = -0.67.$$

### Portfolio Mean & Variance:

Mean return of Portfolio :- Suppose that there are  $n$  assets with random rate of returns  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$  and there have expected values  $\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2, \dots, \bar{r}_n$ .

Suppose we form a portfolio of these  $n$  assets using the weights  $w_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ .

then 
$$R = w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2 + \dots + w_n r_n$$

$$E(R) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i r_i\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n E(w_i r_i) = w_i \sum_{i=1}^n E(r_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \bar{r}_i.$$

### Variance of portfolio return

$$\sigma^2 = E[(R - \bar{r})^2]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i r_i - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \bar{r}_i\right)^2\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (r_i - \bar{r}_i)\right)^2\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= E \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (r_i - \bar{r}_i) \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n w_j (r_j - \bar{r}_j) \right) \right] \\
 &= E \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i w_j (r_i - \bar{r}_i) (r_j - \bar{r}_j) \right] \\
 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_{ij} \quad (\sigma_{ii} = \sigma_i^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Sol:-

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{r}_1 &= 0.12 & \bar{r}_2 &= 0.15 \\
 \sigma_1 &= 0.20 & \sigma_2 &= 0.18 & \sigma_{12} &= 0.01 \\
 w_1 &= 0.25 & w_2 &= 0.75
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{r} = 0.25 \times 0.12 + 0.75 \times 0.15 = 0.1425$$

and.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma^2 &= (0.25)^2 (0.20)^2 + 0.25 \times 0.75 \times 0.01 + 0.75 \times 0.25 \times 0.01 \\
 &\quad + (0.75)^2 (0.18)^2 = 0.024475.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma = 0.1564$$

Diversification :- The process of reducing the variance of the return of a portfolio by including additional assets in portfolio is called diversification.

Suppose, that there are  $n$  assets, all of which are mutually uncorrelated. Suppose that the rate of return of each of these assets has mean  $m$

and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Now suppose that a portfolio is constructed by taking equal portions of  $n$  of these assets; i.e.  $w_i = 1/n$

then  
rate of portfolio  $r = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i r_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i$

then  $E(r) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(r_i)$   
 $= \frac{1}{n} \times n \cdot m = m.$

and  $\text{var}(r) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_{ij}$   
 $= \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \sigma_{ii}$   
 $= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n \sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$

here expected mean of portfolio is independent of  $n$ , where variance is dependent on  $n$ ,  $\therefore$  variance decreases as  $n$  increases.

Now suppose we take correlated assets

s.t.  $\text{Cov}(r_i, r_j) = 0.3\sigma^2$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(r) &= E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} (r_i - \bar{r}) \right]^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} E \left\{ \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (r_i - \bar{r}) \right] \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n (r_j - \bar{r}) \right] \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} \sigma_{ij} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ n\sigma^2 + 0.3(n^2 - n)\sigma^2 \right\} \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + 0.3\sigma^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \\
&= \frac{0.7\sigma^2}{n} + 0.3\sigma^2
\end{aligned}$$

∴ It is impossible to reduced the variance below  $0.3\sigma^2$ .

Mean - Standard Deviation Diagram



Portfolio diagram lemma 1: The curve in an  $\bar{r}$ - $\sigma$  diagram defined by non-negative mixtures of assets 1 and 2 lies within the triangular region defined by two original assets and the point on the vertical axis of height

$$A = \frac{\bar{r}_1 \sigma_2 + \bar{r}_2 \sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$$

Proof:- The <sup>mean</sup> rate of return of the portfolio.

$$\bar{r}(\alpha) = (1-\alpha)\bar{r}_1 + \alpha\bar{r}_2$$

and

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2 \sigma_1^2 + 2\alpha(1-\alpha)\sigma_{12} + \alpha^2 \sigma_2^2} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Using the def<sup>n</sup> of correlation coefficient

$$\rho = \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \quad \text{cf. (1) becomes}$$

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2 \sigma_1^2 + 2\alpha(1-\alpha)\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \alpha^2 \sigma_2^2}$$

We know that  $|\rho| \leq 1$ , using  $\rho = 1$ , we find the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\alpha)^* &= \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2 \sigma_1^2 + 2\alpha(1-\alpha)\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \alpha^2 \sigma_2^2} \\ &= \sqrt{((1-\alpha)\sigma_1 + \alpha\sigma_2)^2} \\ &= (1-\alpha)\sigma_1 + \alpha\sigma_2 \end{aligned}$$

and using  $\rho = -1$ , we get lower bound,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\alpha)_p &= \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2 \sigma_1^2 + 2\alpha(1-\alpha)\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \alpha^2 \sigma_2^2} \\ &= \sqrt{((1-\alpha)\sigma_1 - \alpha\sigma_2)^2} \\ &= |(1-\alpha)\sigma_1 - \alpha\sigma_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the upper bound is linear, and also is mean. Therefore mean & standard deviation move proportionally to  $\alpha$  between  $\alpha=0$  &  $\alpha=1$ . provided  $\rho = -1$ .

∴ The portfolio traces a straight line between 1 & 2.

And for lower bound at  $\alpha = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$

$$\sigma(\alpha)_p = 0$$

and  $\sigma(\alpha)_p = (1-\alpha)\sigma_1 - \alpha\sigma_2$ ,  $\alpha < \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$

and  $\sigma(\alpha)_p = \alpha\sigma_2 - (1-\alpha)\sigma_1$ ,  $\alpha > \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$

At  $\alpha = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$ ,  $\bar{r} = A = \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \bar{r}_2 \sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$

this gives us line b/w 1 to A & A to 2.

→ the curve traced out by the portfolio must lie within the shaded region.

### The feasible set

The set of points that correspond to portfolios is called the feasible set or feasible region.

### Minimum-Variance set and Efficient Frontier

The left boundary of a feasible set is called minimum-variance set.

There is a special point on this set having minimum variance is called minimum-variance point.

The upper portion of minimum-variance set is termed efficient frontier.

### The Markowitz model

Assume there are  $n$  assets. The mean rate of returns are  $\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2, \dots, \bar{r}_n$  and the covariances are  $\sigma_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . A portfolio is defined by a set of  $n$  weights  $w_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  that sum to 1.

We need to find a minimum-variance portfolio.

Hence we formulate.

$$\text{minimize } \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_{ij}$$

$$\text{subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \bar{x}_i = \bar{x}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$$

Solution to this problem

Using Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , we form

Lagrangian,

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_{ij} - \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \bar{x}_i - \bar{x} \right) - \mu \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i - 1 \right)$$

then we differentiate  $L$  with respect to  $w_i$ 's and set the derivative to zero.

Consider 2-variable case.

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (w_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + 2w_1 w_2 \sigma_{12} + w_2^2 \sigma_2^2) - \lambda (\bar{x}_1 w_1 + \bar{x}_2 w_2 - \bar{x}) - \mu (w_1 + w_2 - 1)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = \frac{1}{2} [2w_1 \sigma_1^2 + 2w_2 \sigma_{12}] - \lambda (\bar{x}_1) - \mu$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = \frac{1}{2} [2w_2 \sigma_2^2 + 2w_1 \sigma_{12}] - \lambda (\bar{x}_2) - \mu$$

setting the derivatives to zero

$$\sigma_1^2 w_1 + \sigma_{12} w_2 - \lambda \bar{r}_1 - \mu = 0$$

$$\sigma_{21} w_1 + \sigma_2^2 w_2 - \lambda \bar{r}_2 - \mu = 0$$

also, we have  $\bar{r}_1 w_1 + \bar{r}_2 w_2 = \bar{r}$

$$\& \quad w_1 + w_2 = 1$$

we solve these eqn for  $w_1, w_2, \lambda$  &  $\mu$ .

Example:-

In general, we get the equations.

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} w_j - \lambda \bar{r}_i - \mu = 0 \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \bar{r}_i = \bar{r}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$$

→ Two fundamental  
(?)

### Inclusion of a risk free Asset

Suppose there is a risk free asset with rate of return  $r_f$ . Consider any other risky asset with rate of return  $r$ , having mean  $\bar{r}$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

then covariance <sup>of these two</sup> is  $E[(r - \bar{r})(r_f - r_f)] = 0$

Suppose these two are combined to form a portfolio using a weight  $\alpha$  of risk-free asset and  $(1-\alpha)$  of risky asset.

$$\text{mean of portfolio} = \alpha r_f + (1-\alpha)\bar{r} \quad (38)$$

$$\text{Variance} = \alpha^2 \cdot 0 + (1-\alpha)^2 \sigma^2$$

$$\sigma = (1-\alpha)\sigma$$

The points representing the portfolio traces out a straight line in the  $\bar{r}$ - $\sigma$  plane.

The One-fund theorem! There is a single fund  $F$  of risky assets such that any efficient portfolio can be constructed as a combination of the fund  $F$  and the risk free asset.

How to find  $F$ .

Draw a line between the risk free asset and <sup>any point</sup> <sup>of feasible</sup> <sup>region</sup> and let  $\theta$  be the angle b/w the line & horizontal axis

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\bar{r}_p - r_f}{\sigma_p}$$

To get  $F$ , we have to maximize  $\theta$  (or  $\tan \theta$ )

Suppose there  $n$  risky assets, with weights

$$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$$

$$\bar{r}_p = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \bar{r}_i \quad \text{and} \quad r_f = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i r_f$$

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (\bar{r}_i - r_f)}{\left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} w_i w_j \right)^{1/2}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \tan \theta}{\partial w_k} = \frac{\left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} w_i w_j \right) (\bar{r}_k - r_f) - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (\bar{r}_i - r_f) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_k} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} w_i w_j \right)}{\left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} w_i w_j \right)}$$

Setting the derivative to zero, we get

$$(\bar{x}_k - \mu_f) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (\bar{x}_i - \mu_f)}{\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} w_i w_j} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \leftarrow_{k_i} w_i$$

$\frac{2A}{\sigma}$

$$\Rightarrow (\bar{x}_k - \mu_f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \leftarrow_{k_i} \lambda w_i$$

Now let  $v_i = \lambda w_i$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \leftarrow_{k_i} v_i = \bar{x}_k - \mu_f$$

Solve these eq<sup>n</sup> for  $v_i$ .

and to get  $w_{ik} = \frac{v_{ik}}{\sum v_i}$

Ex! -  $\bar{x}_1 = 1, \bar{x}_2 = 2, \bar{x}_3 = 3, \mu_f = 0.5, \sigma^2 = 1$

$$v_1 = 1 - 0.5 = 0.5$$

$$v_2 = 2 - 0.5 = 1.5$$

$$v_3 = 3 - 0.5 = 2.5$$

$$w_1 = \frac{1}{9}, \quad w_2 = \frac{2}{9}, \quad w_3 = \frac{5}{9} \rightarrow \text{weight of } F$$

$$\text{mean} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{9} = \frac{1+6+5}{9} = \frac{12}{9}$$

Variance =